



# Leigh-on-Sea Town Council

71-73 Elm Road, Leigh-on-Sea, Essex SS9 1SP - Tel: 01702 716288  
council@leighonseatowncouncil.gov.uk [www.leighonseatowncouncil.gov.uk](http://www.leighonseatowncouncil.gov.uk)



Vice-Chairman: Cllr Valerie Morgan  
Town Clerk: Helen Symmons

## MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING, HIGHWAYS & LICENSING COMMITTEE HELD AT 7.30PM ON TUESDAY 24<sup>th</sup> APRIL 2018 AT LEIGH COMMUNITY CENTRE, 71-73 ELM ROAD, LEIGH-ON-SEA

Present: Cllrs: Jill Healey (Chairman) and Valerie Morgan.

In Attendance: Helen Symmons (Town Clerk) and Abbie Cotterell (Assistant Proper Officer)

### ***The meeting opened at 7.30pm***

#### 290. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Cllrs: John Duprey, Anita Forde, Patrick Fox, Richard Herbert and Caroline Parker

291. The meeting being inquorate Standing Order 31C was applied.

### ***The meeting closed at 7.31pm***

*The following applications were considered under Standing Order 31C.*

- a) LOS/18/0110                      SOS/18/00484/FUL                      **(LEIGH ROAD WARD)**  
**258 LEIGH ROAD, LEIGH ON SEA, ESSEX SS9 1BW**  
Demolish existing building and erect 5 storey building incorporating 21 self-contained flats with balconies /terraces, layout parking and cycle stores at basement level, refuse store and amenity space (Amended Proposal)
- b) LOS/18/0112                      SOS/18/00497/FULH                      **(LEIGH ROAD WARD)**  
**52 WOODFIELD PARK DRIVE LEIGH ON SEA, ESSEX SS9 1LW**  
Erect hip to gable roof extension, dormers to side elevations, alter window to front and convert loft into habitable accommodation
- c) LOS/18/0113                      SOS/18/00488/FULH                      **(ELMS WARD)**  
**120 LEIGHTON AVENUE, LEIGH ON SEA, ESSEX SS9 1PY**  
Erect single storey rear extension (Retrospective)
- d) LOS/18/0114                      SOS/18/00490/FULH                      **(HIGHLANDS WARD)**  
**182 HIGHLANDS BOULEVARD, LEIGH ON SEA, ESSEX SS9 3QU**  
Erect single storey rear extension
- e) LOS/18/0115                      SOS/18/00519/FULH                      **(HIGHLANDS WARD)**  
**257 HIGHLANDS BOULEVARD, LEIGH ON SEA, ESSEX SS9 3TN**  
Erect single storey front/side extension for use as garage
- f) LOS/18/0117                      SOS/18/00658/TPO                      **(ST. CLEMENT'S WARD)**  
**LAND OPPOSITE 1-12 THE TERRACE, LEIGH ON SEA, ESSEX SS9 2DF**  
Fell one Elm tree, crown reduction to one Cherry tree and various mixed trees (Works to trees covered by Tree Preservation Order)
- g) LOS/18/0118                      SOS/18/00531/ FULH                      **(HERSCHELL WARD)**  
**77 SALISBURY ROAD, LEIGH ON SEA, ESSEX SS9 2JY**  
Erect hipped to gable roof extension with dormer to rear

- h) LOS/18/0119                      SOS/18/00566/ FULH                      **(HERSCHELL WARD)**  
**132 GLENDALE GARDENS, LEIGH ON SEA, ESSEX SS9 2BA**  
Erect two storey side extension with raised ridge and gable end, part single/part two storey rear extension, form parking to front and alter elevations
- i) LOS/18/0120                      SOS/18/00572/ FULH                      **(THAMES WARD)**  
**33 BERKELEY GARDENS, LEIGH ON SEA, ESSEX SS9 2TD**  
Raise roof height, erect hip to gable roof extensions to sides, form roof terraces to front and rear, erect single storey extensions to front and side, two storey rear extension and alter elevations

### **Decisions**

- a) 258 Leigh Road – No Objection
- b) 52 Woodfield Park Drive – No Objection
- c) 120 Leighton Avenue – No Objection, Leigh Town Council does however regret the loss of a bungalow.
- d) 182 Highlands Boulevard – No Objection
- e) 257 Highlands Boulevard – No Objection
- f) Land Opposite 1-12 the Terrace – No Objection
- g) 77 Salisbury Road – Objection

The proposed extension would, by reason of its design, size, bulk and siting, represent an incongruous and overly dominant feature, which would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the host property. The proposed development is also out of keeping with the street scene. It is therefore contrary to Policy DM3 but also to Policy DM1 in that it would not protect the amenity of its immediate neighbours having regard to privacy and overlooking, which would be harmful to the rear garden scene and outlook.

- h) 132 Glendale Gardens – No Objection
- i) 33 Berkeley Gardens – No Objection